#### **Data Structures**

November 16

#### **Objectives**

Discuss the following topics:

- Encoding symbols using bits
  - Ultimately computers operate on sequences of bits → need encoding schemes that take a rich alphabet and converts into bits
- Simplest scheme: use a *fixed* number of bits for each symbol in the alphabet, and then just concatenate bit strings for each symbol in the text.
- Example of encoding with fixed number of bits: 26 letters, space (to separate words), comma, period, question mark, exclamation mark, and apostrophe; total 32 symbols, can do with 5 bits.

- Ascii works similarly
- Can you do better for the case of 32 symbols? Can't do with 4 bits – can only distinguish 16 items
- BUT: maybe over large stretches of text we can spend on *average* less than 5 bits per symbol? e, t, a, o, i, n get to be use much more frequently in English than q,j,x,z (more than an order of magnitude)
- Could use a small number of bits for the frequent letters, and a large number of bits for the less frequent ones – hopefully on average less than 5 bits on a long string of text
- Reduce average number of bits per letter: fundamental problem in Datacompression

- How do you construct an encoding which in *optimal* way takes advantage of *nonuniform* frequencies of letters?
- Discussion of message transfer
- Morse Code
- Prefix Codes
- Ambiguity in Morse code: pairs of letters where the bit string that encodes one letter is a prefix of the bit string that encodes another
- Map letters to bitstrings so no encoding is prefix of any other

- A prefix code for a set S of letters is function  $\gamma$  that maps each letter  $x \in S$  to some sequence of zeros and ones such that for different  $y, x \in S$ , the sequence  $\gamma(x)$  is not a prefix of  $\gamma(y)$ .
- Works: consider a text consisting of a sequence of letters x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub>x<sub>3</sub>...x<sub>n</sub>. Convert this to a sequence of bits by simply encoding each letter using γ and then concatenating this: γ (x<sub>1</sub>) γ (x<sub>2</sub>) γ (x<sub>3</sub>)... γ (x<sub>n</sub>).

- Decoding?
  - Scan bits from left to right
  - As soon as you see enough bits to match encoding of some letter, output this letter as the first letter of the text -must be correct! No shorter or longer prefix could encode any other letter
  - Delete corresponding set of bits from the front of the message and iterate
- No need for pauses etc

- For prefix code we have
  - Each code word corresponds to exactly one symbol
  - No look ahead is required
- Example 1: S = {A, B, C}
  - Code1:  $\gamma_1(A)=1; \gamma_1(B)=0; \gamma_1(C)=10.$
  - Code2:  $\gamma_2(A)=1; \gamma_2(B)=00; \gamma_2(C)=10.$
  - Code3:  $\gamma_3(A)=11; \gamma_3(B)=10; \gamma_3(C)=01.$
- Requirements:
- Each code word corresponds to exactly one symbol
- No look ahead is required
- Length of a codeword for a given symbol m<sub>j</sub> should not exceed the length of the codeword of a less probable symbol m<sub>i</sub>: P(m<sub>i</sub>) ≤ P(m<sub>j</sub>) ⇒ length(m<sub>i</sub>)≥ length(m<sub>i</sub>)

- Length of a codeword for a given symbol m<sub>j</sub> should not exceed the length of the codeword of a less probable symbol m<sub>i</sub>: P(m<sub>i</sub>) ≤ P(m<sub>j</sub>) ⇒ length(m<sub>i</sub>)≥ length(m<sub>i</sub>)
- In optimal encoding system, no unused short codewords either as stand-alone encodings or as prefixes of longer codewords (means longer codewords were created unnecessarily)
- Example: 01,000,001,100,101 for a certain set of 5 symbols: 11 is not used; → optimal coding: 01,10,11,000,001.

• Another example of prefix code:  $S=\{a,b,c,d,e\},\gamma_1(a)=11; \gamma_1(b)=01; \gamma_1(c)=001; \gamma_1(d)=10; \gamma_1(e)=000; cecab \rightarrow 0010000011101$ 

If recipient knows  $\gamma_1$ , then can decode unambiguously.

- Towards Optimal Prefix Codes Suppose for each  $x \in S$ there is a frequency  $f_x$ , representing the fraction of the letter in the text that are equal to x. (Total number of letters in the text is n, then  $nf_x$  of these letters equal x). We have:  $\sum_{x \in S} f_x = 1$ .
- Encoding length of a given text using encoding  $\gamma$  ( $|\gamma(x)|$  denotes the length of  $\gamma(x)$ ):
- encoding length= $\sum_{x \in S} nf_x |\gamma(x)| = n \sum_{x \in S} f_x |\gamma(x)|$ .
- average number of bits per letter =  $\sum_{x \in S} f_x |\gamma(x)|$ .

- Our earlier example of prefix code: S={a,b,c,d,e}.γ<sub>1</sub>(a)=11; γ<sub>1</sub>(b)=01; γ<sub>1</sub>(c)=001; γ<sub>1</sub>(d)=10; γ<sub>1</sub>(e)=000;
- Their frequencies:  $f_a=0.32$ ;  $f_b=0.25$ ;  $f_c=0.20$ ;  $f_d=0.18$ ;  $f_e=0.05$ ;
- Average number of bits per letter using prefix code  $\gamma_1$  is:
- $0.32 \cdot 2 + 0.25 \cdot 2 + 0.20 \cdot 3 + 0.18 \cdot 2 + 0.05 \cdot 3 = 2.25$
- $\gamma_1$  is not the best:
- $\gamma_2(a)=11; \gamma_2(b)=10; \gamma_2(c)=01; \gamma_2(d)=001; \gamma_2(e)=000; is better$
- $0.32 \cdot 2 + 0.25 \cdot 2 + 0.20 \cdot 2 + 0.18 \cdot 3 + 0.05 \cdot 3 = 2.23$

Problem:

 Given an alphabet S and a set of frequencies for the letters, produce a prefix code γ such that average number of bits

$$ABL(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in S} f_x |\gamma(x)|$$

is as small as possible.

• Such a code is called *optimal* 

# Representing prefix codes using binary trees

- Consider a (rooted) binary tree T such that the number of leaves is equal to the size of the alphabet S, label each leaf with a distinct letter in S.
- Such a T naturally describes a prefix code: for each letter  $x \in S$  follow the path from the root to the leaf labeled with x; each time you go from a node to its left child write down a 0 and in case you go to the right, write down a 1.

The encoding of S constructed from T is a prefix code

# Representing prefix codes using binary trees

- Can also go in the other direction: given a prefix code γ we can build a binary tree T which "stores" the prefix code recursively as follows:
- We start with a root; all letters  $x \in S$  whose encodings start with a 0 will be leaves in the left subtree of the root; all letters  $y \in S$  whose encodings start with a 1 will be leaves in the right subtree of the root;





 $\gamma_0(a)=1;$   $\gamma_0(b)=011;$   $\gamma_0(c)=010;$   $\gamma_0(d)=001;$  $\gamma_0(e)=000;$ 









- The search for an optimal prefix code can be viewed as the search for a binary tree T, together with a labelling of the leaves of T, that minimizes the average number of bits per letter.
- Note: the length of encoding of a letter x ∈ S is the length of the path from the root to the leaf labeled with x
- Length of the path from root to leaf v is called the depth of the leaf, notation: depth<sub>T</sub>(v); (( recall depth<sub>T</sub>(v) = (level of v) - 1))

- Searching for: labeled binary tree that minimizes the weighted average of the depths of the leaves, where the average is weighted by the frequencies of the letters that label the leaves: ∑<sub>x∈S</sub> f<sub>x</sub> depth<sub>T</sub>(x), denote this quantity by ABL(T)
- Claim: The binary tree corresponding to the optimal prefix code is full. (full = each node has two children)

- Discussion of Shannon-Fano codes
- Example: S={a,b,c,d,e} frequencies: f<sub>a</sub>=0.32; f<sub>b</sub>=0.25; f<sub>c</sub>=0.20; f<sub>d</sub>=0.18; f<sub>e</sub>=0.05;
- Resulting code is  $\gamma_1$  (which we know not to be optimal)

- Claim: Suppose T\* is a binary tree corresponding to an optimal prefix code. Let u and v be leaves of T\* such that depth(u) < depth(v). Suppose further that leaf u is labeled with y ∈ S and leaf v is labeled with x ∈ S. Then f<sub>y</sub> ≥ f<sub>z</sub>.
- If somebody gave you the structure of T\* without the labeling, you would be able to label it in an optimal way

- Claim: Consider T\* is a binary tree corresponding to an optimal prefix code. Let v be a leaf in T\* whose depth is as large as possible. Leaf v has a parent u (we exclude the trivial case of alphabets with one letter), we know that T\* is full binary tree, u has another child w.
  This child, w, is a leaf of T\*. (we will refer to w and v as siblings)
- Claim: There is an optimal prefix code, with corresponding tree T\*, in which the lowestfrequency letters are assigned to leaves that are siblings in T\*

- An Algorithm to Construct an Optimal Prefix Code:
- Suppose y\* and z\* are letters in S with the two lowest frequencies (can break ties arbitrarily). Previous claim tells where y\* and z\* go (can go) in an optimal solution: they end up as sibling leaves below a common parent.
- This common parent acts like a "meta-letter" whose frequencey is the sum of the frequencies of y\* and z\*

- An Algorithm to Construct an Optimal Prefix Code:
- Algo: replace y\* and z\* with with this metaletter, obtaining an alphabet which is one letter smaller. We recursively find prefix code for the smaller alphabet, and then "unwrap" the metaletter back into y\* and z\* to obtain prefix code for S:

To construct a prefix code for an alphabet S with given frequencies:

if S has two letters then

encode one letter using 0 and the other using 1

#### else

- let y\* and z\* be the two lowest-frequency letters
- form a new alphabet S' by deleting  $y^*$  and  $z^*$  and replacing them with a new letter  $\omega$  of frequency  $f_{v^*}+f_{z^*}$ .
- recursively construct a prefix code  $\gamma'$  for S', with tree T'
- Define a prefix code for S as follows:
  - Start with T'
  - Take the leaf  $\omega\,$  and add two children below it labeled

#### endif

y\* and z\*

- Claim:  $ABL(T') = ABL(T) f_{\omega}$ .
- Claim: the Huffman code for a given alphabet achieves the minimum number of bits per letter of any prefix code.
- Implementation: without thought it is O(k<sup>2</sup>) where k is the number of letters in the alphabet; using priority queues we get O(k log(k)).
- extensions